



Stakeholder Seminar 2

12-13 November 2013 - Berlin



Rethinking what is Social and what is Technical in (Long Term) Radioactive Waste Management

Venue: NH-Hotel Berlin Mitte, Leipziger Strasse 106

TUESDAY 12/11				
11.30 – 12.30	Registration & welcome coffee			
12.30 – 13.00	Opening of the seminar: intro to InSOTEC and the seminar Anne Bergmans - InSOTEC			
13.00 – 14.40	Topical session 1			
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Reversibility & Retrievability</th> <th>Siting</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <p>Two ways for democracy to absorb technological conflicts: negotiating nuclear waste in France and Sweden <i>Yannick Barthe (LIER-CNRS/EHESS, Paris), Göran Sundqvist (UiO), Mark Elam (UGOT)</i></p> <p>How passive is passive safety? <i>Jantine Schröder (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Re-shaping geological disposal: socio-technical translations of reversibility into practice <i>Anne Bergmans (UAntwerpen)</i></p> </td> <td> <p>The influence of the (technical) disposal concept on the siting process <i>Beate Kallenbach-Herbert and Anne Minhans (OEKO)</i></p> <p>Long-term Repository Governance: A Socio-technical Challenge <i>Catharina Landström (UEA), Anne Bergmans (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Siting the nuclear waste repository in the Czech Republic: twisty ways towards technical democracy <i>Zdeněk Konopásek & Karel Svačina (CUNI)</i></p> <p>The Finnish site selection process after 2007 <i>Matti Kojo (UTA)</i></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Reversibility & Retrievability	Siting	<p>Two ways for democracy to absorb technological conflicts: negotiating nuclear waste in France and Sweden <i>Yannick Barthe (LIER-CNRS/EHESS, Paris), Göran Sundqvist (UiO), Mark Elam (UGOT)</i></p> <p>How passive is passive safety? <i>Jantine Schröder (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Re-shaping geological disposal: socio-technical translations of reversibility into practice <i>Anne Bergmans (UAntwerpen)</i></p>
Reversibility & Retrievability	Siting			
<p>Two ways for democracy to absorb technological conflicts: negotiating nuclear waste in France and Sweden <i>Yannick Barthe (LIER-CNRS/EHESS, Paris), Göran Sundqvist (UiO), Mark Elam (UGOT)</i></p> <p>How passive is passive safety? <i>Jantine Schröder (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Re-shaping geological disposal: socio-technical translations of reversibility into practice <i>Anne Bergmans (UAntwerpen)</i></p>	<p>The influence of the (technical) disposal concept on the siting process <i>Beate Kallenbach-Herbert and Anne Minhans (OEKO)</i></p> <p>Long-term Repository Governance: A Socio-technical Challenge <i>Catharina Landström (UEA), Anne Bergmans (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Siting the nuclear waste repository in the Czech Republic: twisty ways towards technical democracy <i>Zdeněk Konopásek & Karel Svačina (CUNI)</i></p> <p>The Finnish site selection process after 2007 <i>Matti Kojo (UTA)</i></p>			
14.40 – 15.00	Coffee break			
15.00 – 16.40	Topical session 2			
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Demonstrating Safety</th> <th>Technology transfer</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> <p>Modelling the safety of a future geological disposal facility in England <i>Catharina Landström (UEA)</i></p> <p>The role of URLs in materializing safety arguments <i>Morgan Meyer (CNRS), Anne Bergmans (UA), Peter Simmons (UEA)</i></p> <p>Demonstrating Safety: elements and conditions of social learning as a prerequisite of safety in radioactive waste management processes <i>Bettina Brohmann (Oeko)</i></p> <p>Risk governance through risk dialogue. Copper corrosion as a socio-technical safety challenge in the geological disposal of spent nuclear fuel <i>Tapio Litmanen (JYU)</i></p> <p>Copper corrosion controversies: managing overflow in Swedish nuclear waste <i>Ann-Sofie Kall, Göran Sundqvist (UiO)</i></p> </td> <td> <p>Adaption of the Swedish KBS disposal concept to Finland: a technology transfer case study <i>Matti Kojo (UTA) (in collaboration with Mark Elam and Sebastian Svenberg, UGOT)</i></p> <p>Transferring social technologies: the case of 'Belgian' local RWD partnerships <i>Catharina Landström (UEA) & Jan-Willem Barbier (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Swedish nuclear waste management on the move: from the Finnish uptake of KBS-3 to the rise of SKB International <i>Sebastian Svenberg & Mark Elam, (UGOT)</i></p> <p>Technology transfer: A Swedish model for stakeholder involvement travels to the Czech Republic <i>Linda Soneryd (UGOT), Karel Svacina and Zdenek Konopásek (CUNI)</i></p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Demonstrating Safety	Technology transfer	<p>Modelling the safety of a future geological disposal facility in England <i>Catharina Landström (UEA)</i></p> <p>The role of URLs in materializing safety arguments <i>Morgan Meyer (CNRS), Anne Bergmans (UA), Peter Simmons (UEA)</i></p> <p>Demonstrating Safety: elements and conditions of social learning as a prerequisite of safety in radioactive waste management processes <i>Bettina Brohmann (Oeko)</i></p> <p>Risk governance through risk dialogue. Copper corrosion as a socio-technical safety challenge in the geological disposal of spent nuclear fuel <i>Tapio Litmanen (JYU)</i></p> <p>Copper corrosion controversies: managing overflow in Swedish nuclear waste <i>Ann-Sofie Kall, Göran Sundqvist (UiO)</i></p>
Demonstrating Safety	Technology transfer			
<p>Modelling the safety of a future geological disposal facility in England <i>Catharina Landström (UEA)</i></p> <p>The role of URLs in materializing safety arguments <i>Morgan Meyer (CNRS), Anne Bergmans (UA), Peter Simmons (UEA)</i></p> <p>Demonstrating Safety: elements and conditions of social learning as a prerequisite of safety in radioactive waste management processes <i>Bettina Brohmann (Oeko)</i></p> <p>Risk governance through risk dialogue. Copper corrosion as a socio-technical safety challenge in the geological disposal of spent nuclear fuel <i>Tapio Litmanen (JYU)</i></p> <p>Copper corrosion controversies: managing overflow in Swedish nuclear waste <i>Ann-Sofie Kall, Göran Sundqvist (UiO)</i></p>	<p>Adaption of the Swedish KBS disposal concept to Finland: a technology transfer case study <i>Matti Kojo (UTA) (in collaboration with Mark Elam and Sebastian Svenberg, UGOT)</i></p> <p>Transferring social technologies: the case of 'Belgian' local RWD partnerships <i>Catharina Landström (UEA) & Jan-Willem Barbier (UAntwerpen)</i></p> <p>Swedish nuclear waste management on the move: from the Finnish uptake of KBS-3 to the rise of SKB International <i>Sebastian Svenberg & Mark Elam, (UGOT)</i></p> <p>Technology transfer: A Swedish model for stakeholder involvement travels to the Czech Republic <i>Linda Soneryd (UGOT), Karel Svacina and Zdenek Konopásek (CUNI)</i></p>			
16.40 – 16.50	Comfort break			
16.50 – 17.10	Main findings from IPPA Kjell Andersson - Karita Research			
17.10 – 17.30	Main findings from SITEX Christophe Serres - IRSN			
17.30 – 18.30	Visualizing radioactive waste management as a socio-technical challenge Ele Carpenter - Goldsmiths College, Lise Autogena - Sheffield Hallam University, Cécile Massart - independent artist, Maarten Van Geet – NIRAS/ONDRAF			
19.15 – 20.15	Guided walk to the restaurant			
20.15	Dinner			

WEDNESDAY 13/11				
08.30 – 09.00	Rethinking what is social and what is technical in radioactive waste management Göran Sundqvist & Yannick Barthe - InSOTEC			
09.00 – 09.45	Stakeholder engagement in R&D networks on RWM & GD at national level Introduction to Discussion Session 1 Meritxell Martell & Kris Van Berendonckx - InSOTEC			
09.45 – 10.00	Coffee break			
10.00 – 11.00	Discussion session 1. Talking about processes instead of objects			
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">DG 1 & DG 2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">DG 3 & DG 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Beyond the social versus technical expert divide?</td> <td>R&D agenda setting: multilevel, multi-actor, multi...?</td> </tr> </table>	DG 1 & DG 2	DG 3 & DG 4	Beyond the social versus technical expert divide?
DG 1 & DG 2	DG 3 & DG 4			
Beyond the social versus technical expert divide?	R&D agenda setting: multilevel, multi-actor, multi...?			
11.00 – 11.10	Comfort break			
11.10 – 12.00	What's special about nuclear waste? From unique technologies to conventional solutions Thomas Kaiserfeld - Swedish National Council for Nuclear Waste			
12.00 – 12.30	Feedback on Discussion Session 1 Remaining InSOTEC work and introduction to Discussion Session 2 Beate Kallenbach-Herbert - InSOTEC			
12.30 – 13.15	Lunch			
13.15 – 14.15	Discussion session 2: Developing recommendations for socio-technical approaches. Different stakeholder groups discussing on lessons learned, recommendations, knowledge gaps, remaining issues, ...			
	<table border="1"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Research and Consultancy</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Implementation</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Hosting</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Policy and control</td> </tr> </table>	Research and Consultancy	Implementation	Hosting
Research and Consultancy	Implementation	Hosting	Policy and control	
14.15 – 14.30	Comfort break			
14.30 – 15.15	Feedback from Discussion Session 2 and concluding reflections			
15.15 – 15.30	Closure of the seminar Anne Bergmans - InSOTEC			
15.30u	adjourn			